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Abstract—View occluders influence the image quality of a subject when occluders exist between a camera and a subject. For example, a blurred fence image interrupts a subject when an image of a scene is captured by a camera through a fence. In this paper, we propose an automatic removal method of foreground occluders from images using multiple focusing. Our method automatically detects foreground occluder regions by using two images with and without flashlight. The influence of foreground occluders is estimated and overlapping effects of foreground occluders are removed by using multiple focus images. Experimental results show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we propose an automatic removal method of foreground occluders from multi-focus images.

View occluders influence the image quality of a subject when occluders exist between a camera and a subject. For example, a blurred fence image interrupts a subject when an image of a scene is captured by a camera through a fence (Fig. 1(a)). Regions of the image interrupted by foreground occluders are different from the actual values of the subjects in lightness and color. This is a significant problem when a robot recognizes environments if it is not easy for the robot to move to the other side of the fence. Therefore, it is very important to restore the subject image without foreground occluder overlap.

There are a lot of image interpolation or restoration techniques for damaged and occluded images [1]–[7]. However, some of them can only treat with line-shape scratches [1]–[3], because they are the techniques for restoring old damaged films. In addition, noise regions are not detected automatically and it is required that human operators indicate the noise regions interactively [4]–[7].

As to the noise detection, there are automatic detection methods of foreground occluders such as fences [8], [9]. However, these methods focus on only string-like occluding objects. It is important for robots to detect arbitrary noise regions that have various shapes.

There are automatic methods that can remove occluded noises without helps of human operators [10], [11]. They are effective for moving particles, but not for stationary foreground occluders.

As to stationary noises, we propose automatic noise removing methods from multiple images by using a stereo camera [12], [13] and a pan tilt camera [14]–[17]. However, these methods can remove only noises like waterdrops which adhere to the lens protector of the camera.

Automatic noise removal methods by considering blurring effects of foreground occluders are also proposed [18]–[25]. For example, in [22], foreground occluders like fences can be removed from multiple input images with different apertures. This method uses three apertures and estimates the depth of the occluding layer to remove the foreground occluders under the assumption that the occluders have a uniform brightness (single color). However, foreground occluders sometimes have multiple colors.

We propose an automatic removal method of fences that have multiple colors [25] (Fig. 1(b)). When an image of a scene is captured by a camera through a fence, a blurred fence image interrupt objects in the scene, depending on the distance between the camera and the fence. This method can remove the overlapping effects of defocused fence, however, it manually determines the essential parameter of “blurring radius” by trial and error. Theoretically, the blurring radius is calculated from the distance between the camera and the foreground occluder, and the distance between the camera and the background scene. Generally speaking, however, these distances are unknown parameters. In addition, only fence is removed in [25].

In this paper, we propose a method for determining the blurring radius, and an automatic removing method of foreground occluders from the captured scene using multi-focus images regardless of its color and shape. It removes foreground occluders not only fence but also other objects. The method takes advantage of a property that the regions where the foreground occluders exist do not completely lose the information of the background scene.

II. REMOVAL METHOD OF FOREGROUND OCCLUDERS

A. Image Acquisition

The proposed method uses three images. One is an image capturing the scene in focus through defocused foreground
occluders (Fig. 2(a)). The other two image are those capturing the scene in focus through the defocused foreground occluder, and the other two are those capturing the foreground occluder in focus.

The registration of the multi-focus images is necessary (Fig. 4) because the scale of the objects in the images has changed with variation of the image distance.

\( \tilde{x}_p = (u, v, 1)^T \) be the homogeneous coordinates of the background subject image, and \( \tilde{x}_o = (u', v', 1)^T \) be the homogeneous coordinates of the foreground occluder image. The homography matrix \( H \) gives the magnification and translation from \( \tilde{x}_p \) to \( \tilde{x}_o \).

\[
\tilde{x}_o = H\tilde{x}_p. \tag{1}
\]

The least square method is employed to estimate the components of the homography matrix \( H \) to reduce the influence of images noises.

After the homography matrix \( H \) is obtained, the image registration can be executed by using Eq. (1).

### C. Occlusion Model

Fundamental characteristics of focusing based on the geometrical optics is explained by the thin lens formula under the simple camera model consisting of a thin lens and an image plane.

If the distances from the object to the lens and from the lens to the image plane are \( a \) and \( b \) respectively, \( a \) and \( b \) satisfy the following formula.

\[
\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{f}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( f \) is the focal length of the lens.

Figure 5(a) shows the geometrical relation between the background objects, the foreground occluders, and the image plane. The background objects are located at distance \( a_F \) in front of the lens, and the image plane is located at distance \( b_F \) behind the lens. The foreground occluders are located at distance \( a_N \), which is shorter than \( a_F \).

When the background objects are in focus, the foreground occluders are blurred in the image plane (Fig. 3(b)). A point source on the foreground occluders spreads on the image plane as a circle. We call the radius of the circle “blurring radius”. The blurring radius \( r \) is expressed as follows:

\[
r = \frac{a_F - a_N}{2a_F} d, \tag{3}
\]

where \( d \) is the lens radius.

The influence of the foreground occluders can be estimated like PSF (point spread function) if the blurring radius \( r \) is known.

Parameter \( d \) can be obtained because the lens radius can be measured, however, it is not easy to obtain \( a_F \) and \( a_N \) because the positions of the background objects and
the foreground occluders are usually unknown when these images are acquired. Therefore, the blurring radius \( r \) is estimated only from the images (Section II-D).

In Fig. 5(a), \( I \) is a pixel on the object on the image plane and \( O \) is the point on the object corresponding to the pixel. The luminous flux radiated from \( O \) is focused at \( I \) in the image plane by the lens. However, a part of the flux is intercepted by the foreground occluders.

Let \( A \) be the area of the flux passing region, and \( A_N \) be the area of the region interrupted by the foreground occluders, respectively (Fig. 5(b)). Also let \( L_b \) be the radiation of \( O \), \( E_F \) be the irradiance value of \( I \), and \( L_N \) be the radiation value of the region on the foreground occluders, respectively. Then, the relation between the incident irradiance value to the lens and irradiance value \( E_F \) is expressed as follows.

\[
E_F = \frac{\pi d^2 \cos^2 \theta}{b_F^2} \left\{ \alpha \int_{A_N} \frac{L_N}{A_N} \cdot dA_N + (1 - \alpha) L_F \right\}
\]

\[
= \alpha k \int_{A_N} \frac{L_N}{A_N} \cdot dA_N + (1 - \alpha) L_F,
\]

(4)

where \( b_F \) is the distance between the lens and the image plane, \( \theta \) is the angle from the optical center to \( I \), and \( \alpha \) is a ratio of \( A'_N \) to \( A \), respectively.

If the foreground occluder does not exist, Eq. (4) becomes as follows.

\[
E_F = k L_F.
\]

(5)

This means that \( k L_F \) in Eq. (4) is equivalent to the irradiance value \( E_F \), which is not interrupted by the foreground occluder. Then, Eq. (4) can be rewritten as follows.

\[
E_F = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} E_F - \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} k \int_{A_N} \frac{L_N}{A_N} \cdot dA_N,
\]

(6)

where \( E_e = k L_F \).

The required parameters to estimate irradiance value \( E_e \) are the irradiance value \( E_F \), the radiance value \( L_N \), and the area of the reversely projected region. In Eq. (6), if it is impossible to acquire the radiance value \( L_N \) directly. Therefore, we use the focused image of the occluder to make Eq. (6) available (Fig. 6). The relation between irradiance value \( E_N \) and radiance value \( L_N \) is expressed as follows:

\[
E_N = k' L_N.
\]

(7)

Area \( A'_N \) corresponding to \( A_N \) in Fig. 6 is given as follows.

\[
A'_N = \frac{b_N^2}{a_N^2} A_N,
\]

(8)

where \( b_N \) is the image distance which is obtained from Eq. (2) with \( a = a_N \).

Here, let \( m = \frac{k}{k'} \) be a modification coefficient and \( \overline{E}_N \) be the average of the irradiance value in \( A'_N \) as follows, respectively.

\[
\overline{E}_N = \int_{A'_N} \frac{E_F}{A'_N} \cdot dA'_N.
\]

(9)

Finally, \( E_e \) can be obtained by substituting Eqs. (7) and (8) to Eq. (6).

\[
E_e = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} E_F - m \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \overline{E}_N.
\]

(10)

If the blurring radius \( r \) is known, the value \( \alpha \) is acquired by calculating the area of the foreground occluder included in the region. The value \( E_e \) is also acquired by averaging the pixel values of the foreground occluders included in the region. The value \( E_F \) is obtained from the background scene focused image. Then, the value \( E_e \) can be acquired by Eq. (10), and the occluder-free image can be generated from the value \( E_e \).

D. Estimation of Blurring Radius

The change of pixel value (brightness) is utilized to estimate the blurring radius.

Figure 7 shows the removal results of the foreground occluders from Fig. 1(a). Figure 7(a) shows the removal result under the assumption of \( r = 15 \), Fig. 7(b) shows the result under the assumption of \( r = 22 \), and Fig. 7(c) shows the ground truth, respectively. Figure 7(c) is acquired by removing the foreground occluder (fence) physically.
Occluder removal is not good in Fig. 7(a), and is good in Fig. 7(b).

Figures 8(a) and (b) show the pixel value on the red and black lines in Fig. 7, respectively.

The background scene on the red line in Fig. 7 is not simple and has a complicated texture. Therefore, the profile (the graph of pixel value) on the red line changes and is not constant in the ground truth image (red line in Fig. 8(a)). In other words, the variation of pixel value in the ground truth image is large (red line in Fig. 8(a)). The variation of the occluder removal result when $r$ is set as 15 (blue line in Fig. 8(a)) and when $r = 22$ (green line in Fig. 8(a)) are large, too. The profiles on the red line when $r = 15$, $r = 22$, and the ground truth are difficult to distinguish with each other.

On the other hand, the background scene on the black line in Fig. 7 is not complicated and the variation is small. The variation of pixel value in the ground truth image (red line in Fig. 8(b)) and that when $r = 22$ (green line in Fig. 8(b)) are small, while the variation when $r = 15$ (blue line in Fig. 8(b)) is large.

To sum up, the variation when the estimated blurring radius $r$ is correct becomes small on simple background regions. Therefore, the regions where the variance of pixel value is small are detected, and then the optimal blurring radius when the variance of pixel value in these region becomes the smallest is calculated.

Background regions whose textures are simple have small variance of pixel value. The variance $\sigma$ is calculated as follows:

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x(i,j) - \bar{x})^2,$$

where $n$ is the block size of the region of interest, $x(i,j)$ is the pixel value at pixel $(i,j)$, and $\bar{x}$ is the pixel value average of $n \times n$ pixels, respectively.

The estimation (optimization) of $r$ is executed in the region where the variance $\sigma$ is smaller than a threshold value as follows.

$$f(r) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x'(i,j) - \bar{x}')^2 \rightarrow \min,$$

where $m$ is the number of the pixel in the small texture regions.

### III. Experiment

We performed experiments in indoor and outdoor environments. Images were acquired through several foreground occluders.

Figure 9 shows one of the experimental setups. Digital camera Nikon D700 was equipped with a mobile robot (Fig. 9(a)) and images were taken through the fence (Fig. 9(b)) in an indoor environment.

Figure 10(a) shows the image taken through the foreground occluder (fence). A person in the image are interrupted by the blurred fence. Figures 10(b) and (c) show the images of the fence in focus without and with flashlight, respectively. Note that the person was moving between the object focusing image and the occluder focusing images were acquired. It is not necessary to take images at the same time. Figure 10(d) shows the removal result of the foreground occluder.

Figure 11 shows the removal result of another foreground occluder. In this case, the foreground occluder was a signboard whose colors are blue and yellow.

Figure 12 shows the experimental result in the outdoor environment. Figure 12(a) shows a fence removal result by

---

**Fig. 7.** Removal results of foreground occluders from Fig. 1(a).

- (a) $r = 15$.
- (b) $r = 22$.
- (c) Ground truth.

**Fig. 8.** The change of pixel value.

- (a) Red line.
- (b) Black line.

**Fig. 9.** Experimental setup.

- (a) Camera.
- (b) Foreground occluder (fence).
Fig. 10. Experimental result I (Indoor environment).

Figures 11(a), (b), and (c) show an original image that was taken in outdoor environments, the automatic extraction result of foreground occluders, and the region where \( r \) is estimated by using Eq. (11). Figure 14 shows the removal results of the foreground occluders when the blurring radius \( r \) changes from 15 to 40. The influence of the foreground occluders changes while \( r \) changes. The influence of the foreground occluders is excessively removed from the original image when \( r \) is small (e.g. Fig. 14(a)), and that is undervalued when \( r \) is large (e.g. Fig. 14(f)).

The optimal value of \( r \) is estimated as 22.3pixel by using Eq. (12) (Fig. 15(a)). Figures 15(b) and (c) show the calculation results of RMSE (root mean squared error) between the original image (Fig. 13(a)) and the ground truth image, and between the result image (Fig. 15(a)) and the ground truth image, respectively. Red color means large error and blue color means small error.

From this result, it is verified that the value of RMSE is reduced drastically in our result.

Figures 16, 17, and 18 show another results. The texture of the background scene in Fig. 16(a) is much more complicated than that of Fig. 13(a), and the region where \( r \) is estimated by using Eq. (11) is small (Fig. 16(c)) when comparing with Fig. 13(c). The optimal value of \( r \) is estimated as 29.4pixel in this case (Fig. 18(a)).

From this result, it is verified that our method can treat with several background scenes by estimating the blurring radius automatically.

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we propose an automatic removal method of foreground occluders from multi-focus images.

We perform the lightness and color adjustment based on the relation between pixels and the radiance value of the background scenes and the foreground occluders. The influence of blurring effects of foreground occluders is considered automatically by estimating the blurring radius. As a result, defocused foreground occluders are eliminated from the original image.
Experimental results show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

As future works, images have to be acquired in more simple ways. Two images without and with flashlight can be taken at the same time by using a commercially available camera such as FUJI FILM FinePix. Multi-focus images can be taken by using a special hardware such as [28].

It is also interesting to use motion of a mobile robot to remove the near occluder influence [17].
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Fig. 17. The Influence of blurring radius in experiment V.

(a) $r = 15$.  
(b) $r = 20$.  
(c) $r = 25$.  
(d) $r = 30$.  
(e) $r = 35$.  
(f) $r = 40$.  

Fig. 18. Experimental result V.

(a) $r = 29.4$.  
(b) RMSE of original image.  
(c) RMSE of result image.